

[Home](#) [OOYLT](#) [OOYLT](#) [OOYLT](#) [OOYLT](#) [Bible](#) [Other](#) [Other](#)
[Page](#) [non-mobile](#) [mobile](#) [audio](#) [download](#) [Articles](#) [Articles](#) [Links](#)

Was Acts 29 Part of the Original Bible?

Various links of Acts 29 and its commentaries are at

The Long Lost Chapter of the Acts of the Apostles Containing the Account of Paul's Journey in Spain and Britain With Notes and Comments by the Late T. G. Cole
<http://www.theseason.org/acts/acts29.htm>

Acts 29

<http://www.lowelegacy.com/articles/miscellaneous/acts-29?showall=&limitstart=>

Is the Sonnini Manuscript Genuine?

<http://www.lowelegacy.com/articles/miscellaneous/acts-29?showall=&start=1>

The Lost Chapter of the Book of Acts

<http://www.lowelegacy.com/articles/miscellaneous/acts-29?showall=&start=3>

29th Chapter of Acts

<http://www.myprivatelibrary.org/acts%2029.html>

Acts 29 (from The Sonnini Manuscript)

<http://www.gods-kingdom-ministries.net/daily-weblogs/2007/06-2007/acts-29-from-the-sonnini-manuscript/>

Sonnini Manuscript (Acts 29)

<http://www.kingdomandglory.com/appendix/app01.pdf>

Acts 29 is from the "Sonnini Manuscript". Was Acts 29 part of the original bible? From a prophetic angle, it is possible.

The Christian Calendar and the Bible were Altered

Dan 7:25b OOYLT

... it hopeth to change seasons and law; and they are given into its hand, till a time, and

times, and a division of a time.

The ancient Roman Catholic church did much damage after the Roman Empire converted to Christianity. The Christian calendar and the bible were altered to appease the pagan converts.

The Seasons were Changed

The pagans were celebrating Easter and Saturnella. The early Christians were celebrating the Passover, Yom Kipper, and other Jewish holidays. After the Roman Empire converted to Christianity, the Roman Catholic church modified the pagan events by changing Saturnella to Christmas. And claimed Jesus was born on Christmas and rose from the dead on Easter.

Lk 2:8,11 OOYLT

And there were shepherds in the same region, lodging in the field, and keeping the night-watches over their flock, ... because there was born to you to-day a Saviour -- who is Christ the Lord -- in the city of David,

It is clear that Jesus was not born on Christmas. Jesus was born the same evening when shepherds were tending their flocks. It is too cold during December in hilly Bethlehem to tend sheeps at nights. Read the commentary for Lk 2:8 in Barnes New Testament Notes, Adam Clarke's Commentary on the Bible, and Jamieson-Fausset-Brown Bible Commentary. You can find these commentaries at

<http://www.studylight.org/>

<http://bible.christiansunite.com/>

<http://biblehub.com/commentaries/>

Mk 16:1 OOYLT

And the sabbath having past, Mary the Magdalene, and Mary of James, and Salome, bought spices, that having come, they may anoint him,

Lk 23:56 OOYLT

and having turned back, they made ready spices and ointments, and on the sabbath, indeed, they rested, according to the command.

It is also clear that Jesus did NOT rose from the dead on Easter. There is no way one can reconcile the above two verses using the traditional "Friday to Sunday" church calendar. Try to solve the crucifixion riddle,

[Crucifixion Riddle](#)

If you want hints, then see

[Crucifixion Hints](#)

And if you are still stuck after a long time and want the 12 pages answer essay, see [Crucifixion Solution](#).

The Law was Changed

The bible is 49, not 66, books. It was rearranged by Jerome around 382-405 AD when he translated the bible to the Latin Vulgate. Furthermore he rearranged the bible to have a more "Gentile taste" than "Jewish taste".

For more commentaries, see OOYLT's [Introduction](#) and [Commentary](#) pages.

Was Acts 29 also Pulled Out?

Did Jerome also took out Acts 29 so that the bible has a further "gentile taste"? Was the bible was further altered to appease the new pagan converts? There are several prophetic angles on why Acts 29 is part of the bible.

- Luke written both the Gospel of Luke and Acts. In the gospel, he ended with "Amen". In Acts 28, there is no "Amen". In fact all the NT books end with "Amen" or a salutation except Acts and James.
- The first national church was in Britian, not Rome. The first appointed Roman Catholic bishop (pope) was Linus. Linus was a convert from the British church. For more, see

<http://www.goldenageproject.org.uk/downloads/CHURCHORIGINS.pdf>

The ancient Roman Catholic Church did much damage in trying to rewrite history so that people think they are the first national church.

- *Mt 10:5-6 OOYLT*

These twelve did Jesus send forth, having given command to them, saying, `To the way of the nations go not away, and into a city of the Samaritans go not in, and be going rather unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel.

Jesus commanded his disciples to reach out to the "lost tribes of Israel". In specific, Jesus commanded his disciples to reach out to the descendants of those Israelites captured by Assyria.

The "lost tribes of Israel" migrated to Europe before and after the Medes-Persian conquered Assyria. In specific, the tribes of Ephraim and Manasseh migrated to England. [Later the tribe of Manasseh migrated to America.] England means "Ephraim land" and Anglo-Saxon mean "sons of Issac".

<http://www.theseason.org/acts/acts29.htm>, quotes

Britain was a name that was named by the Romans. The word "Brit", means "covenant", and "Ish" is the form of the word man, or people. "British" then comes from the words "Covenant People".

Apostles Paul and Peter visiting Britian is a fulfillment of Jesus's command to reach the lost tribes of Israel.

Some weblinks about the lost tribes of Israel are

<http://www.israelite.ca/>

<http://www.british-israel.com/>

<http://www.ensignmessage.com/>

<http://www.associationcovenantpeople.org/>

<http://www.missiontoisrael.org/gods-covenant-people/tableofcontents.php>

<http://bibleprobe.com/lost.htm>

Warning: Many links about the lost tribes of Israel are from (Armstrong) Worldwide Church of God and its splinter groups. These groups do not believe in the deity of the Holy Spirit. I hope I removed all those links and the above links are from ("Trinity") Christians.

- It is very possible that Jesus went to Glastonbury, England as a young adult. There are infamy gospels among non-canonical books - what Jesus did as a young child. In gospels, Jesus visited the temple when he was twelve. Then he suddenly pops up when he was 30. Where was he when he was a young adult?

Jn 1:32-33 OOYLT

And John testified, saying -- `I have seen the Spirit coming down, as a dove, out of heaven, and it remained on him; and I did not know him, but he who sent me to baptize with water, He said to me, On whomsoever thou mayst see the Spirit coming down, and remaining on him, this is he who is baptizing with the Holy Spirit;

John the Baptist and Jesus were second cousins and their hometowns were only a few days apart. You would think they know each other. The above passage shows that John did not know Jesus. Was Jesus gone as a young adult?

Old non-canonical books can be found at

<http://wesley.nnu.edu/sermons-essays-books/noncanonical-literature/>
<http://www.earlychristianwritings.com/>

These books are non-canonical, so treat them with a grain of salt. For example, The Book of Jubilees and The Book of Jasher conflict concerning the death of Esau. If you are interested in reading a few, I suggest

- Testaments of the Twelve Patriarchs
- The Gospel of Nicodemus [Acts of Pilate]
- The Book of Jubilees
- one of the (Jesus) infamy gospels

If you are interested in learning more about Jesus visiting Glastonbury, surf through

<https://duckduckgo.com/?q=Jesus+Glastonbury>

a duckduckgo search for "Jesus Glastonbury". Be careful, there are some questionable websites.

Also Ensign Message has a few articles about Jesus visiting Glastonbury.

<http://ensignmessage.com/articles/glastonbury-abbey/>
<http://ensignmessage.com/articles/christ-in-cornwall-glastonbury-the-holy-land-of-britain-1/>
<http://ensignmessage.com/articles/the-incredible-history-of-gods-true-church-4/>

- 66 is not a good number. 6 is the number of man while 666 is the mark of the beast. 66 means the bible was rearranged by man under the influence of the devil. At the same time, was Acts 29 also taken off?

The ancient Roman Catholic Church was a good church when it was an underground persecuted church before the Roman Empire converted to Christianity. After the Roman Empire converted to Christianity, the ancient Roman Catholic Church turned sour. And rewrote history against the national Celtic British church so that their church is prominent. Eventually it plunged into the dark age. I am suspicious that Acts 29 and possibly other passages were removed from the bible while the ancient Roman Catholic Church rewrote history. Acts 29 supports the fact that Britain was evangelized shortly after Jesus was crucified.

Is Acts 29 from Sonnini Manuscript Authentic?

There are debate about the authenticity of Acts 29. Some believe that Acts 29 is fake.

<http://biblefacts.org/church/Acts29.html> (UPDATE 1/16/17: can not find this paper)
http://asis.com/users/stag/acts_29.html

The bible facts.org webpage mentioned two problems.

- The MCF mentions that "Luke only wrote what he eye-witnessed, which is why Acts ends before Paul's departure from Rome and his voyage to Spain."
- The fact that no one seems to know the whereabouts of the pillars, which were prophesied to stand until the Second Coming. If this was a true prophecy there would be a well known monument somewhere.

Having one source for Acts 29 does not convince me of its authenticity. If Acts 29 is true, it would be all over the place. If you are a bible scholar and can read ancient languages, prayfully ask the Lord about searching through various old Acts texts written before Jerome translated the bible to the Latin Vulgate. I am no bible scholar - only know less than a dozen Greek word and less than a handful of Hebrew words.

Finding old scriptures is probably problematic. If chapter 29 is there, then there is more likelihood that Jerome took it off. If chapter 29 is not there, then there is more likelihood that Acts 29 is fake. Even if the manuscript is broken, damaged, or incomplete, you can still check on whether Acts 29 is included in the Book of Acts.

While you are at it, check the end of James which is also missing "Amen". Do me a favor, please let me know if you find anything.

OOYLT

Prayfully consider help restoring the bible to 49 books. Original Ordered Young's Literal Translation (OOYLT) is the first good "49 books" translation - The Holy Bible in its Original Order, the first 49 books bible, has faulty Armstrong's doctrines. Prayerfully consider doing:

- Download and post OOYLT to your website.
- Take a good translation like KJV, WB, etc, and rearrange it to 49 books (OOKJV, OOWB, etc).
- Create a new "49 books" bible by translating the Textus-Receptus to modern English.

Just be sure that the Lord calls you to do these work(s) before getting your hand dirty. And bathe the work(s) with much prayer.

[pdf version of Acts 29 Essay](#)

Raymond

Last modified on 8/24/17

© Copyright 2017

[Home](#) [OOYLT](#) [OOYLT](#) [OOYLT](#) [OOYLT](#) [Bible](#) [Other](#) [Other](#)
[Page](#) [non-mobile](#) [mobile](#) [audio](#) [download](#) [Articles](#) [Articles](#) [Links](#)